

Bundesamt
für Sicherheit in der
Informationstechnik

Technical Guideline TR-03110

Advanced Security Mechanisms for Machine Readable Travel Documents – Extended Access Control (EAC)

Version 1.11

History

Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik Postfach 20 03 63, 53133 Bonn, Germany Email: ExtendedAccessControl@bsi.bund.de Internet: <http://www.bsi.bund.de/fachthem/epass> © Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik 2008

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) standardizes machine readable travel documents in ICAO Doc 9303. This standard consists of three parts:

Part 1: Machine Readable Passports

- Volume 1: Passports with Machine Readable Data Stored in Optical Character Recognition Format
- Volume 2: Specifications for Electronically Enabled Passports with Biometric Identification Capability

Part 2: Machine Readable Visa

Part 3: Machine Readable Official Travel Documents

This technical guideline mainly focuses on and extends the electronic security mechanisms for electronic passports described in Doc 9303 Part 1 Volume 2 [\[5\]](#page-58-0) to protect the authenticity (including integrity), originality, and confidentiality of the data stored on the radio frequency chip embedded in the passport (MRTD chip). In a nutshell the security mechanisms specified in [\[5\]](#page-58-0) are *Passive Authentication*, *Active Authentication*, and *Access Control* as summarized in Table [1.1.](#page-7-2)

Mechanism	Protection	Cryptographic Technique			
Passive Authentication	Authenticity	Digital Signature			
Active Authentication	Originality	Challenge-Response			
Access Control	Confidentiality	Authentication & Secure Channels			

Table 1.1: ICAO Security Mechanisms

While the implementation of Passive Authentication is mandatory, Active Authentication and Access Control are both optional. It directly follows that without implementing those or equivalent mechanisms the originality and confidentiality of the stored data cannot be guaranteed. This guideline focuses on those aspects and specifies supplementary mechanisms for authentication and access control that are important for a secure MRTD chip.

1.1 Passive Authentication

The ICAO ePassport application basically consists of 16 data groups (DG1-DG16) and a Security Object for Passive Authentication. An overview on the usage of those data groups is given in Table [1.2.](#page-8-2)

Passive Authentication uses a digital signature to authenticate data stored in the data groups on the MRTD chip. This signature is generated by a Document Signer (e.g. the MRTD producer) in the personalization phase of the MRTD chip over a Security Object containing the hash values of all data groups stored on the chip. For details on the Security Object, Document Signers, and Country Signing CAs the reader is referred to [\[5\]](#page-58-0).

To verify data stored on an MRTD chip using Passive Authentication the inspection system has to perform the following steps:

1. Read the Security Object from the MRTD chip.

[∗]DG14 is defined in this specification (cf. Appendix [A.1\)](#page-24-1).

- 2. Retrieve the corresponding Document Signer Certificate and the trusted Country Signing CA Certificate.
- 3. Verify the Document Signer Certificate and the signature of the Security Object.
- 4. Compute hash values of read data groups and compare them to the hash values in the Security Object.

Passive Authentication enables an inspection system to detect manipulated data groups, but it does not prevent cloning of MRTD chips, i.e. copying the complete data stored on one MRTD chip to another MRTD chip.

NOTE: Even with Passive Authentication, an inspection system can detect a cloned MRTD chip by carefully comparing the machine readable zone (MRZ) printed on the datapage to the MRZ stored in data group DG1 on the MRTD chip. This test, however, assumes that it is impossible to physically copy the datapage – which exclusively relies on its physical security features.

1.2 Active Authentication

Active Authentication is a digital security feature that prevents cloning by introducing a chip-individual key pair:

- The public key is stored in data group DG15 and thus protected by Passive Authentication.
- The corresponding private key is stored in secure memory and may only be used internally by the MRTD chip and cannot be read out.

Thus, the chip can prove knowledge of this private key in a challenge-response protocol, which is called Active Authentication. In this protocol the MRTD chip digitally signs a challenge randomly chosen by the inspection system. The inspection system recognizes that the MRTD chip is genuine if and only if the returned signature is correct. Active Authentication is a straightforward protocol and prevents cloning very effectively, but introduces a privacy threat: Challenge Semantics (see Appendix [F](#page-57-0) for a discussion on Challenge Semantics).

1.3 Access Control

Access Control is not only required for privacy reasons but also mitigates the risk of cloning attacks. The MRTD chip protects the stored data against unauthorized access by applying appropriate access control mechanisms as described below:

- Less-sensitive data (e.g. the MRZ, the facial image and other data that is relatively easy to acquire from other sources) required for global interoperable border crossing is protected by *Basic Access Control*. For the reader's convenience, Basic Access Control is described in Appendix [E.](#page-55-0)
- Sensitive data (e.g. fingerprints and other data that cannot be obtained easily from other sources at a large scale) must only be available to authorized inspection systems. Such data is additionally protected by *Extended Access Control*.

Basic Access Control only checks that the inspection system has physical access to the travel document by requiring the MRZ to be read optically. Extended Access Control should additionally check that the inspection system is entitled to read sensitive data. Therefore, strong authentication of the inspection system is required. However, as Extended Access Control is not required for global interoperable border crossing, this protocol is not (yet) specified by ICAO.

1.4 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [\[2\]](#page-58-1). The key word "CONDITIONAL" is to be interpreted as follows:

CONDITIONAL: The usage of an item is dependent on the usage of other items. It is therefore further qualified under which conditions the item is REQUIRED or RECOMMENDED.

When used in tables (profiles), the key words are abbreviated as shown in Table [1.3.](#page-9-2)

Table 1.3: Key words

1.5 Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are commonly used throughout this specification.

2 Advanced Security Mechanisms

This technical guideline specifies two advanced security mechanisms for machine readable travel documents: *Chip Authentication* and *Terminal Authentication*. While Chip Authentication can be used as a stand-alone protocol, e.g. to replace Active Authentication, Terminal Authentication can only be used in combination with Chip Authentication. The combination of both protocols provides an implementation of Extended Access Control.

Chip Authentication

This protocol is an alternative to the optional Active Authentication, i.e. it enables the inspection system to verify that the MRTD chip is genuine but has two advantages over the original protocol.

- Challenge Semantics are prevented because the transcripts produced by this protocol are nontransferable.
- Besides authentication of the MRTD chip this protocol also provides strong session keys for Secure Messaging.

An MRTD chip that supports Chip Authentication MUST also enforce Basic Access Control.

Terminal Authentication

This protocol enables the MRTD chip to verify that the inspection system is entitled to access sensitive data. As the inspection system MAY access sensitive data afterwards, all further communication MUST be protected appropriately. Therefore, Chip Authentication MUST have been successfully executed before starting this protocol – which is enforced by the protocol itself.

2.1 Inspection Procedure

Depending on whether or not a device (i.e. an MRTD chip or an inspection system) is compliant to this specification the device is called *compliant* or *non-compliant*, respectively. Depending on the combination of an inspection system and an MRTD chip, either the *standard inspection procedure* or the *advanced inspection procedure* is used:

- A non-compliant inspection system uses the standard inspection procedure. Less-sensitive data stored on a compliant MRTD chip MUST be readable by every non-compliant inspection system.
- A compliant inspection system SHALL use the advanced inspection procedure if the MRTD chip is compliant. Otherwise the standard inspection procedure SHALL be used.

Table [2.1](#page-12-2) gives an overview on the inspection procedures to be used.

NOTE: As described in Section [1.1](#page-7-1) Passive Authentication is a continuous process that requires the computation of a hash value of each data group read from the chip and its comparison to the corresponding hash value contained in the Security Object. While this continuous process is assumed to be applied in the following procedures, it is not explicitly described.

The standard inspection procedure consists of the following steps:

1. Select ePassport application (REQUIRED)

The MRTD chip performs the following:

- a) *BAC used:* It SHALL NOT grant access to any data (except general system data).
- b) *BAC unused:* It SHALL grant access to less-sensitive data (e.g. DG1, DG2, DG14, DG15, etc. and the Security Object).

2. Basic Access Control (CONDITIONAL)

This step is REQUIRED if Basic Access Control is enforced by the MRTD chip.

If successful, the MRTD chip performs the following:

- It SHALL start Secure Messaging.
- It SHALL grant access to less-sensitive data (e.g. DG1, DG2, DG14, DG15, etc. and the Security Object).
- It SHALL restrict access rights to require Secure Messaging.
- 3. Passive Authentication (started) (REQUIRED)

The inspection system MUST read and verify the Security Object.

4. Active Authentication (OPTIONAL)

If available, the inspection system MAY read and verify DG15 and perform Active Authentication.

5. Read and authenticate data

The inspection system MAY read and verify read data groups containing less-sensitive data.

2.1.2 Advanced ePassport Inspection Procedure

The advanced inspection procedure consists of the following steps:

1. Select ePassport application (REQUIRED)

The MRTD chip SHALL NOT grant access to any data (except general system data).

2. Basic Access Control (REQUIRED)

If successful, the MRTD chip performs the following:

- It SHALL start Secure Messaging.
- It SHALL grant access to less-sensitive data (e.g. DG1, DG2, DG14, DG15, etc. and the Security Object).
- It SHALL restrict access rights to require Secure Messaging.

Table 2.1: Inspection Procedures

3. Chip Authentication (REQUIRED)

The inspection system SHALL read DG14 and perform Chip Authentication.

The MRTD chip performs the following:

- It SHALL restart Secure Messaging.
- It SHALL restrict access rights to require Secure Messaging established by Chip Authentication.

4. Passive Authentication (started) (REQUIRED)

The inspection system performs the following:

- It SHALL read and verify the Security Object.
- It SHALL verify DG14.

5. Active Authentication (OPTIONAL)

If available, the inspection system MAY read and verify DG15 and perform Active Authentication.

6. Terminal Authentication (CONDITIONAL)

This step is REQUIRED to access sensitive ePassport data.

If successful the MRTD chip performs the following:

- It SHALL additionally grant access to data groups according the inspection system's access rights.
- It SHALL restrict all access rights to require Secure Messaging established by Chip Authentication using the ephemeral public key authenticated by Terminal Authentication.

7. Read and authenticate data

The inspection system MAY read and verify read data groups according to the inspection system's access rights.

NOTE: After a successful execution of Chip Authentication strong session encryption is established rendering the decryption of an eavesdropped communication computationally impossible. However, only after a successful Passive Authentication the MRTD chip may be considered genuine.

2.2 Public Key Infrastructure

Terminal Authentication requires the inspection system to prove to the MRTD chip that it is entitled to access sensitive data. Such an inspection system is equipped with at least one *Inspection System Certificate,* encoding the inspection system's public key and access rights, and the corresponding private key. After the inspection system has proven knowledge of this private key, the MRTD chip grants the inspection system access to sensitive data as indicated in the Inspection System Certificate.

The PKI required for issuing and validating Inspection System Certificates consists of the following entities:

- 1. Country Verifying CAs (CVCAs)
- 2. Document Verifiers (DVs)
- 3. Inspection systems (ISs)

This PKI forms the basis of Extended Access Control. It is illustrated in Figure [2.1.](#page-14-3)

Arrows denote certification.

Figure 2.1: Public Key Infrastructure

2.2.1 Country Verifying CA

Every State is required to set up one trust-point that issues Document Verifier Certificates: the *Country Verifying CA* (CVCA).

NOTE: The Country Signing CA issuing certificates for Document Signers (cf. [\[5\]](#page-58-0)) and the Country Verifying CA MAY be integrated into a single entity, e.g. a Country CA. However, even in this case, separate key pairs MUST be used for different roles.

A CVCA determines the access rights to national MRTD chips for all DVs (i.e. national DVs as well as the DVs of other States) by issuing certificates for DVs entitled to access some sensitive data. The conditions under which a CVCA grants a DV access to sensitive data is out of the scope of this document and SHOULD be stated in a certificate policy (cf. Appendix [A.4.3\)](#page-34-2).

Document Verifier Certificates MUST contain information, such as which data a certain DV is entitled to access. To diminish the potential risk introduced by lost or stolen inspection systems Document Verifier Certificates MUST contain a short validity period. The validity period is assigned by the issuing CVCA at its own choice and this validity period may differ depending on the Document Verifier the certificate is issued to.

2.2.2 Document Verifiers

A *Document Verifier* (DV) is an organizational unit that manages inspection systems belonging together (e.g. inspection systems operated by a State's border police) by – inter alia – issuing Inspection System Certificates. A Document Verifier is therefore a CA, authorized by at least the national CVCA to issue certificates for national inspection systems. The Inspection System Certificates issued by a DV usually inherit both the access rights and the validity period from the Document Verifier Certificate, however, the Document Verifier MAY choose to further restrict the access rights or the validity period depending on the inspection system the certificate is issued for.

If a Document Verifier requires its inspection systems to access sensitive data stored on other States' MRTD chips, it MUST apply for a DV Certificate issued by the CVCA of the respective States. The Document Verifier MUST also ensure that all received Document Verifier Certificates are forwarded to the inspection systems within its domain.

2.2.3 Card Verifiable Certificates

CVCA Link Certificates, DV Certificates, and IS Certificates are to be validated by MRTD chips. Due to the computational restrictions of those chips, the certificates MUST be in a card verifiable format:

Figure 2.2: Certificate Scheduling

- The certificate format and profile specified in Appendix [A.4.1](#page-31-6) SHALL be used.
- The signature algorithm, domain parameters, and key sizes to be used are determined by the CVCA of the issuing State, i.e. the same signature algorithm, domain parameters and key sizes MUST be used within a certificate chain.^{[1](#page-15-3)}
- CVCA Link Certificates MAY include a public key that deviates from the current parameters, i.e. the CVCA MAY switch to a new signature algorithm, new domain parameters, or key sizes.

2.2.3.1 Certificate Scheduling

Each certificate MUST contain a validity period. This validity period is identified by two dates, the *certificate effective date* and the *certificate expiration date.*

- Certificate Effective Date: The certificate effective date SHALL be the date of the certificate generation.
- Certificate Expiration Date: The certificate expiration date may be arbitrarily chosen by the certificate issuer.

When generating certificates the issuer MUST carefully plan the renewal of certificates, as sufficient time for propagation of certificates and set up of certificate chains MUST be provided. Obviously, a new certificate must be generated before the current certificate expires. The resulting *maximum distribution time* equals the certificate expiration date of the old certificate minus the certificate effective date of the new certificate. Certificate scheduling is illustrated in Figure [2.2.](#page-15-2)

2.2.4 Certificate Validation

To validate an IS Certificate, the MRTD chip MUST be provided with a certificate chain starting at a trust-point stored on the MRTD chip. Those trust-points are more or less recent public keys of the

¹As a consequence Document Verifiers and inspection systems will have to be provided with several key pairs.

MRTD chip's CVCA. The initial trust-point(s) SHALL be stored in the MRTD chip's secure memory in the production or (pre-) personalization phase.

As the key pair used by the CVCA changes over time, CVCA Link Certificates have to be produced. The MRTD chip is REQUIRED to internally update its trust-point(s) according to received valid link certificates.

NOTE: Due to the scheduling of CVCA Link Certificates (cf. Figure [2.2\)](#page-15-2), at most two trust-points need to be stored on the MRTD chip.

The MRTD chip MUST only accept *recent* IS Certificates. If the MRTD chip has no internal clock, the *current date* SHALL be approximated as described below. Thus, the MRTD chip only verifies that a certificate is *apparently* recent (i.e. with respect to the approximated current date).

Current Date: The current date stored on the MRTD chip is initially the date of the (pre-) personalization. This date is then autonomously approximated by the MRTD chip using the most recent certificate effective date contained in a valid CVCA Link Certificate, a DV Certificate or a domestic IS Certificate.

An inspection system MAY send CVCA Link Certificates, DV Certificates, and IS Certificates to an MRTD chip to update the current date and the trust-point stored on the MRTD chip even if the inspection system does not intend to or is not able to continue with Terminal Authentication.

2.2.4.1 General Procedure

The certificate validation procedure consists of two steps:

- 1. Certificate Verification: The signature MUST be valid, the certificate MUST NOT be expired. If the verification fails, the procedure SHALL be aborted.
- 2. Internal Status Update: The current date MUST be *updated*, the public key and the attributes MUST be imported, new trust-points MUST be *enabled*, expired trust-points MUST be *disabled*.

The operations of *enabling* or *disabling* a trust-point and the operation of *updating* the current date MUST be implemented as an atomic operation.

2.2.4.2 Example Procedure

The following validation procedure, provided as an example, MAY be used to validate a certificate chain. For each received certificate the MRTD chip performs the following steps:

- 1. The MRTD chip verifies the signature on the certificate. If the signature is incorrect, the verification fails.
- 2. The certificate expiration date is compared to the MRTD chip's current date. If the expiration date is before the current date, the verification fails.
- 3. The certificate is valid and the public key and the relevant attributes contained in the certificate are imported.
	- a) For CVCA Link Certificates:

The new CVCA public key is added to the list of trust-points stored in the MRTD chip's secure memory. The new trust-point is then enabled.

b) For DV and IS Certificates:

The new DV or IS public key is temporarily imported for subsequent certificate verification or Terminal Authentication, respectively.

4. For CVCA, DV, and domestic IS Certificates:

The certificate effective date is compared to the MRTD chip's current date. If the current date is before the effective date, the current date is updated to the effective date.

5. Expired trust-points stored in the MRTD chip's secure memory are disabled and may be removed from the list of trust-points.

3 Protocol Specifications

In this section cryptographic protocols for Chip Authentication and Terminal Authentication are specified assuming an arbitrary communication infrastructure. A mapping to ISO 7816 commands is given in Appendix [B.](#page-37-0)

3.1 Cryptographic Algorithms and Notation

The protocols are executed between two parties: the MRTD chip (PICC) and the inspection system (PCD). The following cryptographic operations and notations are used.

3.1.1 Key Agreement

The keys and operations for key agreement are described in an algorithm-independent way. A mapping to DH and ECDH can be found in Appendix [A.2.](#page-27-1)

3.1.1.1 Keys

The following key pairs are used:

- The MRTD chip has a static Diffie-Hellman key pair (or Chip Authentication Key Pair). The public key is PK_{PICC} , the corresponding private key is SK_{PICC} , the domain parameters are \mathcal{D}_{PICC} .
- The inspection system generates an ephemeral Diffie-Hellman key pair for every new communication using the MRTD chip's domain parameters \mathscr{D}_{PICC} . The ephemeral public key is $\overline{PK_{PCD}}$, the corresponding private key is *SK*^*PCD*.

It is RECOMMENDED that the MRTD chip validates the ephemeral public key received from the inspection system.

3.1.1.2 Operations

Generating a shared secret *K* is denoted by $\text{KA}(SK_{PICC}, \widetilde{PK_{PCD}}, \mathcal{D}_{PICC})$ for the MRTD chip and $KA(SK_{PCD}, PK_{PICC}, \mathscr{D}_{PICC})$ for the inspection system.

3.1.2 Signatures

The keys and operations for signatures are described in an algorithm-independent way. A mapping to RSA and ECDSA can be found in Appendix [A.3.](#page-28-1)

3.1.2.1 Keys

The inspection system has a static signature key pair (or Terminal Authentication Key Pair). The public key is *PKPCD*, the corresponding private key is *SKPCD*.

MRTD Chip (PICC)		Inspection System (PCD)
static key pair:		
$(SK_{PIC}, PK_{PIC}, \mathscr{D}_{PIC})$		
	PK_{PIC}	choose random ephemeral key pair
	\mathscr{D} PICC	$(SK_{PCD}, PK_{PCD}, \mathscr{D}_{PIC})$
	PK_{PCD}	
$K = \text{KA}(SK_{PICC}, PK_{PCD}, \mathscr{D}_{PICC})$		$K = KA(SK_{PCD}, PK_{PIC}, \mathcal{D}_{PIC})$

Figure 3.1: Chip Authentication

3.1.2.2 Operations

The operations for signing and verifying a message are denoted as follows:

- Signing a message *m* with private key SK_{PCD} is denoted by $s = \text{Sign}(SK_{PCD}, m)$.
- Verifying the resulting signature *s* with public key PK_{PCD} is denoted by Verify(PK_{PCD}, s, m).

3.2 Chip Authentication

Chip Authentication is an ephemeral-static Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol that provides secure communication and implicit unilateral authentication of the MRTD chip.

3.2.1 Protocol Specification

The following steps are performed by the inspection system and the MRTD chip, a simplified version is also shown in Figure [3.1:](#page-19-4)

- 1. The MRTD chip sends its static Diffie-Hellman public key *PKPICC*, and the domain parameters $\mathscr{D}_{\text{PICC}}$ to the inspection system.
- 2. The inspection system generates an ephemeral Diffie-Hellman key pair $(\widetilde{SK_{PCD}}, \widetilde{PK_{PCD}}, \mathcal{D}_{PICC})$, and sends the ephemeral public key PK_{PCD} to the MRTD chip.
- 3. Both the MRTD chip and the inspection system compute the following:
	- a) The shared secret $K = \text{KA}(SK_{PICC}, PK_{PCD}, \mathcal{D}_{PICC}) = \text{KA}(SK_{PCD}, PK_{PICC}, \mathcal{D}_{PICC})$
	- b) The session keys *KMAC* and *KEnc* derived from *K* for Secure Messaging.
	- c) The hash of the inspection system's ephemeral public key $H(PK_{PCD})$ for Terminal Authentication.

To verify the authenticity of the *PKPICC* the inspection system SHALL perform Passive Authentication directly after Chip Authentication.

3.2.2 Security Status

If Chip Authentication was successfully performed, Secure Messaging is restarted using the derived session keys *KMAC* and *KEnc*. Otherwise, Secure Messaging is continued using the previously established session keys (Basic Access Control).

NOTE: Passive Authentication MUST be performed directly after Chip Authentication. Only after a successful validation of the Security Object read from the MRTD chip using the new session keys the MRTD chip may be considered genuine.

Figure 3.2: Terminal Authentication

3.3 Terminal Authentication

Terminal Authentication is a two move challenge-response protocol that provides explicit unilateral authentication of the inspection system.

3.3.1 Protocol Specification

The following steps are performed by the inspection system and the MRTD chip, a simplified version is also shown in Figure [3.2:](#page-20-3)

- 1. The inspection system sends a certificate chain to the MRTD chip. The chain starts with a certificate verifiable with a CVCA public key stored on the chip and ends with the inspection system's IS Certificate.
- 2. The MRTD chip verifies the certificates and extracts the inspection system's public key *PKPCD*. Then it sends the challenge r_{PICC} to the inspection system.
- 3. The inspection system responds with the signature

$$
s_{PCD} = \text{Sign}(SK_{PCD}, ID_{PIC} || r_{PICC} || H(\widetilde{PK_{PCD}})).
$$

4. The MRTD chip checks that

 V erify $(PK_{PCD}, S_{PCD}, ID_{PICC}||_{PPC}||H(\widetilde{PK_{PCD}})) =$ true.

In this protocol *IDPICC* is the MRTD chip's Document Number including the check digit (similar to Basic Access Control) as contained in the MRZ and $H(PK_{PCD})$ is the hash value of the inspection system's ephemeral Diffie-Hellman public key from Chip Authentication.

NOTE: All messages MUST be transmitted with Secure Messaging in Encrypt-then-Authenticate mode using session keys derived from Chip Authentication.

3.3.2 Security Status

If Terminal Authentication was successfully performed, the MRTD chip SHALL grant access to stored sensitive data according to the effective authorization level of the authenticated inspection system.

 $\overline{\text{NOTE}}$: Secure Messaging is not affected by Terminal Authentication. The MRTD chip SHALL retain Secure Messaging even if Terminal Authentication fails (unless a Secure Messaging error occurs).

4 Security & Privacy

In this section the formal correctness of the protocols is shown. Following the ideas proposed in [\[1\]](#page-58-2) a transition from the *Authenticated Link Model* to the *Unauthenticated Link Model* is used to prove the security of the protocols.

- Authenticated Link Model: The Authenticated Link Model is an idealized setting where all messages are a priori authenticated.
- Unauthenticated Link Model: The Unauthenticated Link Model is the real-world setting where messages are unauthenticated.

The Authenticated Link Model restricts the adversary to attacks on the cryptographic primitive itself and to attacks that do not have impact on the security of the protocol (e.g. denial of service attacks). In this model a key agreement would be sufficient to set up the secure channel. The security of the underlying Diffie-Hellman protocol is directly based on the assumption that the Computational Diffie-Hellman Problem is hard.

The transition from the Authenticated Link Model to the Unauthenticated Link Model is done by applying appropriate *Authenticators*, turning unauthenticated messages into authenticated messages. Actually, Chip Authentication and Terminal Authentication are such authenticators. Unfortunately, there is no clear definition of the properties of an authenticator in the literature and the corresponding security proofs are quite blurred. To make such proofs more transparent, we give a definition of an authenticator:

Authenticator: A message sent from an originator to a recipient shall be authenticated. It directly follows that the following three properties are sufficient for authentication of the message:

- Origin: The recipient must be able to identify the sender of the message.
- Destination: The originator must be able to indicate the intended recipient of the message.
- Freshness: The recipient must be able to check that the message is not a copy of a previous message.

4.1 Chip Authentication

Chip Authentication is similar to the cipher-based authenticator proposed in [\[1\]](#page-58-2), also shown in Figure [4.1.](#page-22-3) It is a two-move protocol that is used to protect the message *mPICC* sent from the MRTD chip to the inspection system by authenticating the message with a MAC.

To make the transition resulting from the application of the cipher-based authenticator to the basic Diffie-Hellman protocol more clear, consider that the encryption $e_{PCD} = \mathbf{E}(PK_{PICC}, K)$ can be safely replaced by the ephemeral key \widetilde{PK}_{PCD} , because this is actually an encryption of $K =$ $\text{KA}(SK_{PCD}, PK_{PIC}, \mathscr{D}_{PIC})$ (see also Proposition 5 and Remark 1 in [\[1\]](#page-58-2)).

- Origin: Computation of the MAC requires knowledge of the authentication key *K*. It directly follows from the Computational Diffie-Hellman assumption that only the MRTD chip (and the inspection system) can generate *K* from \widetilde{PK}_{PCD} .
- Destination: The chip includes the identity of the inspection system in the MAC. If the inspection system remains anonymous, the distinguishing identifier *ID_{PCD}* can be removed from the MAC. In this case the message is intended for the inspection system that is able to verify the MAC (and thus has knowledge of *K*).

• Freshness: If the inspection system chooses the ephemeral key pair $(\widetilde{SK_{PCD}}, \widetilde{PK_{PCD}})$ randomly and uniformly, the authentication key K is also generated randomly and uniformly.

4.1.1 Summarized Properties

Chip Authentication has the following properties:

- 1. Implicit authentication of the MRTD chip.
- 2. Secure messaging with forward secrecy.^{[1](#page-22-5)}

4.1.2 Remaining Risks

Chip Authentication alone does not necessarily guarantee that the MRTD chip contained in a presented document is genuine. To preclude sophisticated attacks (e.g. the *"Grandmaster Chess Attack"*) the authenticity and integrity of the printed MRZ and DG1 of the ePassport application MUST be verified and it MUST be checked that both are identical.

This implies that in addition to Chip Authentication and Passive Authentication the physical security features of the document MUST be additionally checked to verify the integrity and authenticity of the printed MRZ.

4.2 Terminal Authentication

Terminal Authentication is the signature-based authenticator proposed in [\[1\]](#page-58-2), also shown in Figure [4.2.](#page-22-4) It is a three-move protocol that is used to protect the integrity of the message *m_{PCD}* sent from the inspection system to the chip by authenticating the message with a signature.

- Origin: Computation of the signature *s_{PCD}* requires knowledge of the private key *SK_{PCD}*. Thus, only the inspection system can generate the signature.
- Destination: The inspection system includes the identity of the chip in the signature.

¹Assuming that the inspection system chooses \widetilde{PK}_{PCD} randomly and erases the secret key $\widetilde{SK_{PCD}}$ directly after generating the session keys, a compromise of the inspection system's static key pair does not affect the secrecy of past sessions.

• Freshness: If the MRTD chip chooses the challenge randomly and uniformly it is guaranteed that the signature s_{PCD} is recent, as the challenge is included in the signed data.

4.2.1 Summarized Properties

Terminal Authentication has the following properties:

- 1. Explicit authentication of the inspection system.
- 2. Key confirmation for Secure Messaging.

4.2.2 Remaining Risks

Terminal Authentication mitigates the risk introduced by lost or stolen inspection systems by authorizing an inspection system to access sensitive data only for a short period of time. Due to the approximation of the current date, sensitive data may be theoretically read by an already expired inspection system.

On the one hand, an infrequently used travel document is obviously more affected by such an attack. On the other hand, the attack is more difficult to mount on an infrequently used travel document, as access to an MRTD chip still requires consent of the bearer which is enforced by Basic Access Control.

Furthermore, what cannot be prevented is an attacker being able to subvert an inspection system and gain access to sensitive data.

4.3 Challenge Semantics

Terminal Authentication is a challenge-response protocol based on digital signatures, which is obviously not free from challenge semantics. This potential attack is however less important, as the inspection system is usually not concerned about its privacy.

Therefore, it only has to be shown that Chip Authentication and the cipher-based authenticator provide a non-transferable proof of knowledge. This can be done by showing that the protocol may be simulated without the chip's private key, and that the simulated transcript is indistinguishable from a real transcript. The simulation is trivial:

Input: The chip's static public key PK_{PICC} , the domain parameters \mathcal{D}_{PICC} , and a message m_{PICC} .

Output: The authenticated message $s_{PICC} = \textbf{MAC}(K, m_{PICC} || ID_{PCD})$, where the authentication key is $K = \text{KA}(\overline{SK_{PCD}}, \overline{PK_{PICC}}, \mathcal{D}_{PICC})$ and $\overline{SK_{PCD}}$ is a randomly chosen ephemeral private key of the inspection system.

In other words, Chip Authentication is free from challenge semantics because the MAC is based on symmetric cryptography. Any party being able to verify the MAC is also able to compute the MAC.

Appendix A Key Management (Normative)

The object identifiers used in the following appendices are contained in the subtree of $bsi-de$:

```
bsi-de OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
  itu-t(0) identified-organization(4) etsi(0)
  reserved(127) etsi-identified-organization(0) 7
}
```
A.1 Information on Supported Security Protocols

The ASN.1 data structure SecurityInfos SHALL be provided by the MRTD chip in DG14 to indicate supported security protocols. The data structure is specified as follows:

```
SecurityInfos ::= SET of SecurityInfo
SecurityInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
 protocol OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
 requiredData ANY DEFINED BY protocol,
 optionalData ANY DEFINED BY protocol OPTIONAL
}
```
The elements contained in a SecurityInfo data structure have the following meaning:

- The object identifier protocol identifies the supported protocol.
- The open type requiredData contains protocol specific mandatory data.
- The open type optionalData contains protocol specific optional data.

A.1.1 Supported Protocols

The ASN.1 specifications for the protocols provided in this specification are described in the following.

NOTE: The following data structures were introduced in version 1.1 of this specification:

- ChipAuthenticationInfo
- TerminalAuthenticationInfo

MRTD chips implemented according to Version 1.0.x of this specification will only provide a ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo. In this case the inspection system SHOULD assume the following:

- The MRTD chip supports Chip Authentication.
- The MRTD chip may support Terminal Authentication.

To determine whether or not sensitive data protected by Terminal Authentication is stored on the MRTD chip, the inspection system may consult the Security Object and the elementary file EF.CVCA.

A.1.1.1 Chip Authentication

To indicate support for Chip Authentication SecurityInfos may contain the following entries:

- At least one ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo MUST be present.
- At least one ChipAuthenticationInfo SHOULD be present.

If more than one Chip Authentication Public Key is present the optional keyId MUST be used in both data structures to indicate the local key identifier.

ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo: This data structure provides an Chip Authentication Public Key of the MRTD chip.

- The object identifier protocol SHALL identify the type of the public key (i.e. DH or ECDH).
- The sequence chipAuthenticationPublicKey SHALL contain the public key in encoded form. More details on the specification of SubjectPublicKeyInfo and AlgorithmIdentifier can be found in [\[4\]](#page-58-3).
- The integer keyId MAY be used to indicate the local key identifier. It MUST be used if the MRTD chip provides multiple public keys for Chip Authentication.

```
id-PK OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
 bsi-de protocols(2) smartcard(2) 1
}
id-PK-DH OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-PK 1}
id-PK-ECDH OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-PK 2}
ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
 protocol id-PK-DH||
                          id-PK-ECDH,
 chipAuthenticationPublicKey SubjectPublicKeyInfo,
 keyId INTEGER OPTIONAL
}
SubjectPublicKeyInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
 algorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
 subjectPublicKey BIT STRING
}
AlgorithmIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
 algorithm OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
 parameters ANY DEFINED BY algorithm OPTIONAL
}
```
ChipAuthenticationInfo: This data structure provides detailed information on an implementation of Chip Authentication.

- The object identifier protocol SHALL identify the algorithms to be used (i.e. key agreement, symmetric cipher and MAC).
- The integer version SHALL identify the version of the protocol. Currently, only version 1 is supported.

• The integer keyId MAY be used to indicate the local key identifier. It MUST be used if the MRTD chip provides multiple public keys for Chip Authentication.

```
id-CA OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
 bsi-de protocols(2) smartcard(2) 3
}
id-CA-DH OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-CA 1}
id-CA-DH-3DES-CBC-CBC OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-CA-DH 1}
id-CA-ECDH OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-CA 2}
id-CA-ECDH-3DES-CBC-CBC OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-CA-ECDH 1}
ChipAuthenticationInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
 protocol id-CA-DH-3DES-CBC-CBC||
          id-CA-ECDH-3DES-CBC-CBC,
 version INTEGER, -- MUST be 1
 keyId INTEGER OPTIONAL
}
```
A.1.1.2 Terminal Authentication

To indicate support for Terminal Authentication SecurityInfos may contain the following entry:

- At least one TerminalAuthenticationInfo SHOULD be present.
- TerminalAuthenticationInfo: This data structure provides detailed information on an implementation of Terminal Authentication.
	- The object identifier protocol SHALL identify the *general* Terminal Authentication Protocol as the specific protocol may change over time.
	- The integer version SHALL identify the version of the protocol. Currently, only version 1 is supported.
	- The sequence efCVCA MAY be used to indicate a (short) file identifier of the file EF.CVCA. It MUST be used, if the default (short) file identifier is not used.

```
id-TA OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
 bsi-de protocols(2) smartcard(2) 2
}
id-TA-RSA OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA 1}
id-TA-RSA-v1-5-SHA-1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-RSA 1}
id-TA-RSA-v1-5-SHA-256 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-RSA 2}
id-TA-RSA-PSS-SHA-1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-RSA 3}
id-TA-RSA-PSS-SHA-256 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-RSA 4}
id-TA-ECDSA OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA 2}
id-TA-ECDSA-SHA-1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-ECDSA 1}
id-TA-ECDSA-SHA-224 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-ECDSA 2}
id-TA-ECDSA-SHA-256 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-TA-ECDSA 3}
```


Algorithm / Format	DH	ECDH			
Key Agreement Algorithm	PKCS#3 [17]	KAEG [7, 9, 3]			
Public Key Format	PKCS#3 [17] ECC [3]				
Key Derivation Function	ICAO 3DES KDF [5, 3]				
Secure Messaging		ICAO 3DES (CBC / Retail MAC) [5]			
Ephemeral Public Key Hash	SHA-1 [15]	X-Coordinate			
Ephemeral Public Key Validation	RFC 2631[16]	ECC [3]			

Table A.1: Algorithms and Formats for Chip Authentication

```
TerminalAuthenticationInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
 protocol id-TA,
  version INTEGER, -- MUST be 1
 efCVCA FileID OPTIONAL
}
FileID ::= SEQUENCE {
  fid OCTET STRING (SIZE(2)),
  sfid OCTET STRING (SIZE(1)) OPTIONAL
}
```
A.1.1.3 Other Protocols

SecurityInfos MAY contain references to protocols that are not contained in this specification (including Active Authentication and Basic Access Control).

A.2 Chip Authentication

A.2.1 Storage on the Chip

The Chip Authentication Key Pair MUST be stored on the MRTD chip.

- The private key SHALL be stored in the MRTD chip's secure memory.
- The public key SHALL be provided in the ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo structure.

The MRTD chip MAY support more than one Chip Authentication Key Pair (i.e. the chip may support different algorithms and/or key lengths). In this case the local key identifier MUST be disclosed in the corresponding ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo (cf. Appendix [A.1.1.1\)](#page-25-0). and MUST be selected by the inspection system with MSE:Set KAT (cf. Appendix [B.1.1\)](#page-37-2).

A.2.2 Chip Authentication with DH

For Chip Authentication with DH the respective algorithms and formats from Table [A.1](#page-27-5) MUST be used.

A.2.3 Chip Authentication with ECDH

For Chip Authentication with ECDH the respective algorithms and formats from Table [A.1](#page-27-5) MUST be used. The elliptic curve domain parameters MUST be described explicitly in the ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo structure, i.e. named curves and implicit domain parameters MUST NOT be used.

	Encoding	Length
Country Code	ISO 3166-1 ALPHA-2	2F
Holder Mnemonic	ISO/IEC 8859-1	9V
Sequence Number	ISO/IEC 8859-1	5Ε

Table A.2: Certificate Holder Reference

F: fixed length (exact number of octets), V: variable length (up to number of octets)

A.2.4 Ephemeral Public Keys

The domain parameters contained in the ChipAuthenticationPublicKeyInfo structure MUST be used by the inspection system for the generation of an ephemeral public key. Ephemeral public keys MUST be exchanged as plain public key values. More information on the encoding can be found in Appendix [C.3.](#page-44-3)

According to Section [3.1.1](#page-18-2) the validation of ephemeral public keys is RECOMMENDED. For DH, the validation algorithm requires the MRTD chip to have a more detailed knowledge of the domain parameters (i.e. the order of the used subgroup) than usually provided by PKCS#3.

A.3 Terminal Authentication

A.3.1 Public Key References

Public keys to be used for Terminal Authentication MUST be contained in CV Certificates according to the certificate profile defined in Appendix [A.4.1.](#page-31-6) Each CV Certificate MUST contain two public key references, a *Certificate Holder Reference* and a *Certification Authority Reference:*

- Certificate Holder Reference: The Certificate Holder Reference is an identifier for the public key provided in the certificate that SHALL be used to reference this public key.
- Certification Authority Reference: The Certification Authority Reference is a reference to the (external) public key of the certification authority that SHALL be used to verify the signature of the certificate.
- NOTE: As a consequence the Certification Authority Reference contained in a certificate MUST be equal to the Certificate Holder Reference in the corresponding certificate of the issuing certification authority.

The Certificate Holder Reference SHALL consist of the following concatenated elements: *Country Code*, *Holder Mnemonic*, and *Sequence Number.* Those elements MUST be chosen according to Table [A.2](#page-28-3) and the following rules:

1. Country Code

The Country Code SHALL be the ISO 3166-1 ALPHA-2 code of the certificate holder's country.

2. Holder Mnemonic

The Holder Mnemonic SHALL be assigned as unique identifier as follows:

- The Holder Mnemonic of a CVCA SHALL be assigned by the CVCA itself.
- The Holder Mnemonic of a DV SHALL be assigned by the *domestic* CVCA.
- The Holder Mnemonic of an IS SHALL be assigned by the supervising DV.

3. Sequence Number

The Sequence Number SHALL be assigned by the certificate holder.

- The Sequence Number MUST be numeric or alphanumeric:
	- A numeric Sequence Number SHALL consist of the characters "0"..."9".
	- An alphanumeric Sequence Number SHALL consist of the characters "0"..."9" and "A"..."Z".
- The Sequence Number MAY start with the ISO 3166-1 ALPHA-2 country code of the certifying certification authority, the remaining three characters SHALL be assigned as alphanumeric Sequence Number.
- The Sequence Number MAY be reset if all available Sequence Numbers are exhausted.

A.3.2 Public Key Import

Public keys imported by the certificate validation procedure (cf. Section [2.2.4\)](#page-15-1) are either *permanently* or *temporarily* stored on the MRTD chip. The MRTD chip SHOULD reject to import a public key, if the Certificate Holder Reference is already known to the MRTD chip.

A.3.2.1 Permanent Import

Public keys contained in CVCA Link Certificates SHALL be permanently imported by the MRTD chip and MUST be stored in secure memory. A permanently imported public key and its metadata SHALL fulfill the following conditions:

- It MAY be overwritten *after expiration* by a subsequent permanently imported public key.
- Either it MUST be overwritten by a subsequent permanently imported public key with the same Certificate Holder Reference or the import MUST be rejected.
- It MUST NOT be overwritten by a temporarily imported public key.

NOTE: It is RECOMMENDED to reject to import a public key, if the Certificate Holder Reference is already known to the MRTD chip.

Enabling and disabling a permanently imported public key MUST be an atomic operation. The internal state MUST be reflected in the file EF.CVCA (cf. Table [A.3\)](#page-30-3).

A.3.2.2 Temporary Import

Public keys contained in DV and IS Certificates SHALL be temporarily imported by the MRTD chip. A temporarily imported public key and its metadata SHALL fulfill the following conditions:

- It SHALL NOT be selectable or usable after a power down of the MRTD chip.
- It MUST remain usable until the subsequent cryptographic operation is successfully completed (i.e. PSO:Verify Certificate or External Authenticate).
- It MAY be overwritten by a subsequent temporarily imported public key.

An inspection system MUST NOT make use of any temporarily imported public key but the most recently imported.

File Name	EF.CVCA
File ID	$0x011C$ (default)
Short File ID	$0x1C$ (default)
Read Access	BAC
Write Access	NEVER (internally updated only)
Size	36 bytes (fixed) padded with zeros
Content	$CAR_i[CAR_{i-1} 0x0000]$

Table A.3: Elementary File EF.CVCA

A.3.2.3 Imported Metadata

For each permanently or temporarily imported public key the following additional data contained in the certificate (cf. Appendix [A.4.1\)](#page-31-6) MUST be stored:

- Certificate Holder Reference
- Certificate Holder Authorization (effective role and effective authorization)
- Certificate Effective Date
- Certificate Expiration Date

The calculation of the effective role (CVCA, DV, or IS) and the effective authorization of the certificate holder is described in Appendix [A.5.](#page-35-0)

 NOTE: The format of the stored data is operating system dependent and out of the scope of this specification.

A.3.2.4 EF.CVCA

The MRTD chip MUST make the references of trusted CVCA public keys available to inspection systems in a transparent elementary file EF.CVCA as specified in Table [A.3.](#page-30-3) This file contains a sequence of Certification Authority Reference (CAR) data objects (cf. Appendix [C.2\)](#page-42-2) structured as follows:

- It SHALL contain at most two Certification Authority Reference data objects.
- The most recent Certification Authority Reference SHALL be the first data object in this list.
- The file MUST be padded by appending zeros.

The file EF.CVCA has a default file identifier and short file identifier. If the default values cannot be used, the (short) file identifier SHALL be specified in the OPTIONAL parameter efCVCA of the TerminalAuthenticationInfo. If efCVCA is used to indicate the file identifier to be used, the default file identifier is overridden. If no short file identifier is given in efCVCA, the file EF.CVCA MUST be explicitly selected using the given file identifier.

A.3.3 Terminal Authentication with RSA

For Terminal Authentication with RSA the following algorithms and formats MUST be used.

OID	Signature	Hash	Parameters
id -TA-RSA-v1-5-SHA-1	RSASSA-PKCS1-v1 5	$SHA-1$	N/A
id-TA-RSA-v1-5-SHA-256	RSASSA-PKCS1-v1 5	SHA-256	N/A
id-TA-RSA-PSS-SHA-1	RSASSA-PSS	$SHA-1$	default
id -TA-RSA-PSS-SHA-256	RSASSA-PSS	SHA-256	default

Table A.4: Object Identifiers for Terminal Authentication with RSA

A.3.3.1 Signature Algorithm

RSA [\[14,](#page-58-10) [18\]](#page-58-11) as specified in Table [A.4](#page-31-7) SHALL be used. The default parameters to be used with RSA-PSS are defined as follows:

- Hash Algorithm: The hash algorithm is selected according to Table [A.4.](#page-31-7)
- Mask Generation Algorithm: MGF1 [\[14,](#page-58-10) [18\]](#page-58-11) using the selected hash algorithm.
- Salt Length: Octet length of the output of the selected hash algorithm.
- Trailer Field: 0xBC

A.3.3.2 Public Key Format

The TLV-Format [\[12\]](#page-58-12) as described in Appendix [C.3.1](#page-45-0) SHALL be used.

- The object identifier SHALL be taken from Table [A.4.](#page-31-7)
- The bit length of the modulus SHALL be 1024, 1280, 1536, 2048, or 3072.

A.3.4 Terminal Authentication with ECDSA

For Terminal Authentication with ECDSA the following algorithms and formats MUST be used.

A.3.4.1 Signature Algorithm

ECDSA with plain signature format [\[7,](#page-58-5) [8,](#page-58-13) [3\]](#page-58-7) as specified in Table [A.5](#page-32-5) SHALL be used.

A.3.4.2 Public Key Format

The TLV-Format [\[12\]](#page-58-12) as described in Appendix [C.3.2](#page-45-1) SHALL be used.

- The object identifier SHALL be taken from Table [A.5.](#page-32-5)
- The bit length of the curve SHALL be 160, 192, 224, or 256.
- Domain Parameters SHALL be compliant to [\[3\]](#page-58-7).

A.4 CV Certificates

A.4.1 Certificate Profile

Self-descriptive card verifiable (CV) certificates according to ISO 7816 [\[10,](#page-58-14) [11,](#page-58-15) [12\]](#page-58-12) and the certificate profile specified in Table [A.6](#page-32-6) SHALL be used. Details on the encoding of the data objects used in the certificate profile can be found in Appendix [C.2.](#page-42-2)

Data Object	Cert
CV Certificate	m
Certificate Body	m
Certificate Profile Identifier	m
Certification Authority Reference	m
Public Key	m
Certificate Holder Reference	m
Certificate Holder Authorization Template	m
Certificate Effective Date	m
Certificate Expiration Date	m
Signature	m

Table A.6: CV Certificate Profile

A.4.1.1 Certificate Profile Identifier

The version of the profile is indicated by the Certificate Profile Identifier. Version 1 as specified in Table [A.6](#page-32-6) is identified by a value of 0.

A.4.1.2 Certification Authority Reference

The Certification Authority Reference is used to identify the public key to be used to verify the signature of the certification authority (CVCA or DV). The Certification Authority Reference MUST be equal to the Certificate Holder Reference in the corresponding certificate of the certification authority (CVCA Link Certificate or DV Certificate). Details on the Certification Authority Reference can be found in Appendix [A.3.1.](#page-28-2)

A.4.1.3 Public Key

Details on the encoding of public keys can be found in Appendix [C.3.](#page-44-3)

A.4.1.4 Certificate Holder Reference

The Certificate Holder Reference is used to identify the public key contained in the certificate. Details on the Certificate Holder Reference can be found in Appendix [A.3.1.](#page-28-2)

A.4.1.5 Certificate Holder Authorization Template

The role and authorization of the certificate holder SHALL be encoded in the Certificate Holder Authorization Template. This template is a sequence that consists of the following data objects:

- 1. An object identifier that specifies the format of the template.
- 2. A discretionary data object that encodes the relative authorization, i.e. the role and authorization of the certificate holder relative to the certification authority.

Data Object	Req					
Authentication	\mathbf{C}					
CV Certificate	m					
Certificate Body	m					
Certificate Profile Identifier	m					
Certification Authority Reference						
Public Key	m					
Certificate Holder Reference	m					
Signature	m					
Certification Authority Reference	\mathbf{C}					
Signature	c					

Table A.7: CV Certificate Request Profile

For the ePassport application the content and evaluation of the Certificate Holder Authorization Template is described in Appendix [A.5.](#page-35-0)

A.4.1.6 Certificate Effective/Expiration Date

Indicates the validity period of the certificate. The Certificate Effective Date MUST be the date of the certificate generation.

A.4.1.7 Signature

The signature on the certificate SHALL be created over the encoded certificate body (i.e. including tag and length). The Certification Authority Reference SHALL identify the public key to be used to verify the signature.

A.4.2 Certificate Requests

Certificate requests are reduced CV certificates that may carry an additional signature. The certificate request profile specified in Table [A.7](#page-33-6) SHALL be used. Details on the encoding of the data objects used in the certificate request profile can be found in Appendix [C.2.](#page-42-2)

A.4.2.1 Certificate Profile Identifier

The version of the profile is identified by the Certificate Profile Identifier. Version 1 as specified in Table [A.7](#page-33-6) is identified by a value of 0.

A.4.2.2 Certification Authority Reference

The Certification Authority Reference SHOULD be used to inform the certification authority about the private key that is expected by the applicant to be used to sign the certificate. If the Certification Authority Reference contained in the request deviates from the Certification Authority Reference contained in the issued certificate (i.e. the issued certificate is signed by a private key that is not expected by the applicant), the corresponding certificate of the certification authority SHOULD also be provided to the applicant in response.

Details on the Certification Authority Reference can be found in Appendix [A.3.1.](#page-28-2)

A.4.2.3 Public Key

Details on the encoding of public keys can be found in Appendix [C.3.](#page-44-3)

A.4.2.4 Certificate Holder Reference

The Certificate Holder Reference is used to identify the public key contained in the request and the resulting certificate. Details on the Certificate Holder Reference can be found in Appendix [A.3.1.](#page-28-2)

A.4.2.5 Signature(s)

A certificate request may have two signatures, an *inner signature* and an *outer signature*:

• Inner Signature (REQUIRED)

The certificate body is self-signed, i.e. the inner signature SHALL be verifiable with the public key contained in the certificate request. The signature SHALL be created over the encoded certificate body (i.e. including tag and length).

• Outer Signature (CONDITIONAL)

- The signature is OPTIONAL if an entity applies for the initial certificate. In this case the request MAY be additionally signed by another entity trusted by the receiving certification authority (e.g. the national CVCA may authenticate the request of a DV sent to a foreign CVCA).
- The signature is REQUIRED if an entity applies for a successive certificate. In this case the request MUST be additionally signed by the applicant using a recent key pair previously registered with the receiving certification authority.

If the outer signature is used, an authentication data object SHALL be used to nest the CV Certificate (Request), the Certification Authority Reference and the additional signature. The Certification Authority Reference SHALL identify the public key to be used to verify the additional signature. The signature SHALL be created over the concatenation of the encoded CV Certificate *and* the encoded Certification Authority Reference (i.e. both including tag and length).

A.4.3 Certificate Policy

It is RECOMMENDED that each CVCA and every DV publishes a certificate policy and/or a certification practice statement.

A.4.3.1 Procedures

The certificate policy SHOULD specify the following procedures:

- Entity identification, authentication, and registration.
- Certificate application, issuance, and distribution.
- Compromise and disaster recovery.
- Auditing.

A.4.3.2 Usage Restrictions

The certificate policy SHOULD imply restrictions on the devices used to store/process corresponding private keys and other sensitive (personal) data:

- Physical and operational security.
- Access control mechanisms.
- Evaluation and certification (e.g. Common Criteria Protection Profiles).
- Data Protection.

	6	5			4 3 2 1 0			ັ Description
X	X							Role
								CVCA
	Ω							DV (domestic)
								DV (foreign)
	Ω							IS
		X	X	X	\mathbf{X}	\mathbf{X}	X	Access Rights
		$\mathbf{\Omega}$	$\mathbf{0}$	Ω	0			RFU
								Read access to DG 4 (Iris)
								Read access to DG 3 (Fingerprint)

Table A.8: Encoding of Roles and Access Rights

A.5 Roles and Authorization Levels

The following object identifier SHALL be used to identify roles and authorization levels for EACprotected ePassports:

```
id-EAC-ePassport OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
 bsi-de applications(3) mrtd(1) roles(2) 1
}
```
A.5.1 Relative Authorization

The *relative authorization* of the certificate holder is encoded in one byte which is to be interpreted as binary bit map as shown in Table [A.8.](#page-35-4) In more detail, this bit map contains a role and access rights. Both are relative to the authorization of all previous certificates in the chain.

A.5.2 Effective Authorization

To determine the *effective authorization* of a certificate holder, the MRTD chip MUST calculate a bitwise Boolean 'and' of the *relative authorization* contained in the current certificate and effective authorization of the previous certificate in the chain. As the certificate chain always starts with a CVCA public key stored on the MRTD chip, the initial value for the effective authorization is set to the (relative) authorization of the CVCA stored on the chip.

A.5.3 Access Rights

The effective authorization is to be interpreted by the MRTD chip as follows:

- The effective role is a CVCA:
	- This link certificate was issued by the national CVCA.
	- The MRTD chip MUST update its internal trust-point, i.e. the public key and the effective authorization.
	- The certificate issuer is a trusted source of time and the MRTD chip MUST update its current date using the Certificate Effective Date.
	- The MRTD chip MUST NOT grant the CVCA extended access to sensitive data (i.e. the effective access rights SHOULD be ignored).
- The effective role is a DV:
- The certificate was issued by the national CVCA for an authorized DV.
- The certificate issuer is a trusted source of time and the MRTD chip MUST update its current date using the Certificate Effective Date.
- The MRTD chip MUST NOT grant a DV extended access to sensitive data (i.e. the effective access rights SHOULD be ignored).
- The effective role is an IS:
	- The certificate was issued by either a domestic or a foreign DV.
	- If the certificate was issued by a domestic DV, the issuer is a trusted source of time and the MRTD chip MUST update its current date using the Certificate Effective Date.
	- The MRTD chip MUST grant the authenticated IS extended access to sensitive data according to the effective access rights.

Appendix B ISO 7816 Mapping (Normative)

In this Appendix the protocols for Chip Authentication and Terminal Authentication are mapped to ISO 7816 APDUs (Application Program Data Units) [\[10\]](#page-58-14).

B.1 Chip Authentication

The following command SHALL be used to implement Chip Authentication: MSE:Set KAT.

B.1.1 MSE:Set KAT

B.2 Terminal Authentication

The following sequence of commands SHALL be used to implement Terminal Authentication:

- 1. MSE:Set DST
- 2. PSO:Verify Certificate
- 3. MSE:Set AT
- 4. Get Challenge
- 5. External Authenticate

Steps 1 and 2 are repeated for every CV certificate to be verified (CVCA Link Certificates, DV Certificate, IS Certificate).

B.2.1 MSE:Set DST

NOTE: Some operating systems accept the selection of an unavailable public key and return an error only when the public key is used for the selected purpose.

B.2.2 PSO: Verify Certificate

B.2.3 MSE:Set AT

NOTE: Some operating systems accept the selection of an unavailable public key and return an error only when the public key is used for the selected purpose.

B.2.4 Get Challenge

B.2.5 External Authenticate

B.3 Secure Messaging

B.3.1 Send Sequence Counter

Only after a successful MSE:Set KAT command Secure Messaging is restarted using the new session keys derived from the key agreement operation, i.e.

- The old session keys and the old SSC are used to protect the response of the MSE:Set KAT command.
- The Send Sequence Counter is set to zero (SSC=0).
- The new session keys and the new SSC are used to protect subsequent commands/responses.

B.3.2 Secure Messaging Errors

The MRTD chip MUST abort Secure Messaging if and only if a Secure Messaging error occurs:

- If expected Secure Messaging data objects are missing, the MRTD chip SHALL respond with status bytes 0x6987.
- If Secure Messaging data objects are incorrect, the MRTD chip SHALL respond with status bytes 0x6988.

If Secure Messaging is aborted, the MRTD chip SHALL delete the session keys and reset the inspection system's access rights.

B.4 Reading Data Groups

The APDUs for selecting and reading EAC-protected data groups already specified by ICAO [\[5\]](#page-58-0) SHALL be used (i.e. Select File and Read Binary). In accordance with ICAO specifications any unauthorized access to EAC-protected data groups SHALL be denied and the MRTD chip MUST respond with status bytes 0x6982 ("Security status not satisfied").

B.5 Command Flow

The sequence of ISO 7816 commands required to implement the Advanced Inspection Procedure described in Section [2.1](#page-11-1) is illustrated in Figure [B.1.](#page-41-2) In this example the MRZ (DG1), the facial image (DG2), and the fingerprints (DG3) are read from the MRTD chip. It is assumed that the LDS application is already selected and Basic Access Control was successfully performed.

B.6 Extended Length

Depending on the size of the cryptographic objects (e.g. public keys, signatures), APDUs with extended length fields MUST be used to send this data to the MRTD chip. For details on extended length see [\[10\]](#page-58-14).

B.6.1 MRTD Chips

For MRTD chips support of extended length is CONDITIONAL. If the cryptographic algorithms and key sizes selected by the issuing state require the use of extended length, the MRTD chips SHALL support extended length. If the MRTD chip supports extended length this MUST be indicated in the ATR/ATS or in EF.ATR as specified in [\[10\]](#page-58-14).

Figure B.1: Command Flow

B.6.2 Inspection Systems

For inspection systems support of extended length is REQUIRED. An inspection system SHOULD examine whether or not support for extended length is indicated in the MRTD chip's ATR/ATS or in EF.ATR before using this option. The inspection system MUST NOT use extended length for APDUs other than the following commands unless the exact input and output buffer sizes of the MRTD chip are explicitly stated in the ATR/ATS or in EF.ATR.

- PSO:Verify Certificate
- MSE:Set KAT
- External Authenticate

B.6.3 Errors

The MRTD chip SHALL indicate extended length errors with status bytes 0x6700.

Appendix C DER Encoding (Normative)

The Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER) according to X.690 [\[13\]](#page-58-16) SHALL be used to encode both ASN.1 data structures and (application specific) data objects. The encoding results in a Tag-Length-Value (TLV) structure as follows:

Tag: The tag is encoded in one or two octets and indicates the content.

Length: The length is encoded as unsigned integer in one, two, or three octets resulting in a maximum length of 65535 octets. The minimum number of octets SHALL be used.

Value: The value is encoded in zero or more octets.

C.1 ASN.1

The encoding of data structures defined in ASN.1 syntax is described in X.690 [\[13\]](#page-58-16).

C.2 Data Objects

C.2.1 Overview

Table [C.1](#page-43-2) gives an overview on the tags, lengths, and values of the data objects used in this specification.

NOTE: The tag 0x7F4C is not yet defined by ISO/IEC 7816. The allocation is requested.

C.2.2 Encoding of Values

The basic value types used in this specification are the following: (unsigned) integers, elliptic curve points, dates, character strings, octet strings, object identifiers, and sequences.

C.2.2.1 Unsigned Integers

All integers used in this specification are unsigned integers. An unsigned integer SHALL be converted to an octet string using the binary representation of the integer in big-endian format. The minimum number of octets SHALL be used, i.e. leading 0x00 octets MUST NOT be used.

NOTE: In contrast the ASN.1 type INTEGER is always a signed integer.

C.2.2.2 Elliptic Curve Points

The conversion of Elliptic Curve Points to octet strings is specified in [\[3\]](#page-58-7). The uncompressed format SHALL be used.

F: fixed length (exact number of octets), V: variable length (up to number of octets)

C.2.2.3 Dates

A date is encoded in 6 digits $d_1 \cdots d_6$ in the format YYMMDD using timezone GMT. It is converted to an octet string $o_1 \cdots o_6$ by encoding each digit d_j to an octet o_j as unpacked BCDs ($1 \le j \le 6$).

NOTE: The year YY is encoded in two digits and to be interpreted as 20YY, i.e. the year is in the range of 2000 to 2099.

C.2.2.4 Character Strings

A character string $c_1 \cdots c_n$ is a concatenation of *n* characters c_j with $1 \le j \le n$. It SHALL be converted to an octet string $o_1 \cdots o_n$ by converting each character c_j to an octet o_j using the ISO/IEC 8859-1 character set. For informational purposes the character set can be found in Table [C.3.](#page-44-4)

NOTE: The character codes $0x00-0x1F$ and $0x7F-0x9F$ are unassigned and MUST NOT be used. The conversion of an octet to an unassigned character SHALL result in an error.

Code	$\bf{0}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	$\mathbf A$	B	$\mathbf C$	D	E	F
$\boldsymbol{0}$																
$\mathbf{1}$																
$\overline{2}$	SP		$^{\prime\prime}$	#	\$	$\%$	$\&$	$\, ,$			\ast	$^{+}$	\cdot	-	\bullet	
3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\overline{7}$	8	9	$\ddot{\cdot}$	\bullet $\overline{}$	$\,<\,$	$=$	\geq	$\overline{\cdot}$
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$^{\copyright}$	A	B	\mathcal{C}	D	E	\boldsymbol{F}	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
5	P	Q	$\mathbf R$	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	[\wedge	
6	$\boldsymbol{\varsigma}$	a	$\mathbf b$	\mathbf{C}	d	e	$\mathbf f$	g	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{h}}$	\mathbf{i}	J	$\bf k$	1	m	n	\mathbf{O}
7	p	q	r	S	t	u	V	W	X	y	z	{			$\tilde{}$	
8																
9																
$\mathbf A$	NBSP		¢	\pounds	\varnothing	¥	п \blacksquare	ş		\odot	a	\ll	h	SHY	$^{\circledR}$	
B	\circ	土	$\mathbf{2}$	3	╭	μ	\mathbb{I}	\bullet	٠	$\mathbf{1}$	\mathbf{o}	\rightarrow	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	i
$\mathbf C$	À	Á	Â	$\overline{\text{A}}$	Ä	Å	Æ	Ç	È	É	$\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$	Ë	Ì	Í		Ï
D	Ð	$\overline{\tilde{\mathrm{N}}}$	Ò	Ó	Ô	Õ	Ö	\times	Ø	Ù	Ú	Û	Ü	Ý	Þ	ß
E	à	á	â	ã	ä	å	æ	ç	è	$\acute{\rm e}$	ê	ë	ì	í	î	ï
F	ð	$\tilde{\rm n}$	ò	ó	ô	\tilde{O}	ö	÷	Ø	ù	ú	û	ü	ý	þ	ÿ
		CUV . Coff Hyphon NDCD. Non broaking Space $CD.$ C_{2000}														

Table C.3: ISO/IEC 8859-1 Character Set

SP: Space, NBSP: Non-breaking Space, SHY: Soft Hyphen

C.2.2.5 Octet Strings

An octet string $o_1 \cdots o_n$ is a concatenation of *n* octets o_j with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Every octet o_j consists of 8 bits.

C.2.2.6 Object Identifiers

An object identifier $i_1 \cdot i_2 \cdots i_n$ is an ordered list of *n* unsigned integers i_j with $1 \le j \le n$. It SHALL be converted to an octet string $o_1 \cdots o_{n-1}$ using the following procedure:

1. The first two integers i_1 and i_2 are packed into a single integer i that is then converted to the octet string o_1 . The value *i* is calculated as follows:

$$
i = i_1 \cdot 40 + i_2
$$

2. The remaining integers i_j are directly converted to octet strings o_{j-1} with $3 \le j \le n$.

More details on the encoding can be found in [\[13\]](#page-58-16).

C.2.2.7 Sequences

A sequence $D_1 \cdots D_n$ is an ordered list of *n* data objects D_j with $1 \le j \le n$. The sequence SHALL be converted to a concatenated list of octet strings $O_1 \cdots O_n$ by DER encoding each data object D_j to an octet string *O^j* .

C.3 Public Key Data Objects

A public key data object is a sequence consisting of an object identifier and several context specific data objects:

• The object identifier is application specific and refers not only to the public key format (i.e. the context specific data objects) but also to its usage.

Table C.5: ECDSA Public Key

• The context specific data objects are defined by the object identifier and contain the public key value and the domain parameters.

The format of public keys data objects used in this specification is described below.

NOTE: Ephemeral public keys are only used in the MSE:Set KAT command (cf. Appendix [B.1.1\)](#page-37-2). As the public key format and the domain parameters are already known, only the plain public key value, i.e. only the context specific data object 0x91, is used to convey the ephemeral public key.

C.3.1 RSA Public Keys

The data objects contained in an RSA public key are shown in Table [C.4.](#page-45-4) The order of the data objects is fixed.

C.3.2 ECDSA Public Keys

The data objects contained in an ECDSA public key are shown in Table [C.5.](#page-45-5) The order of the data objects is fixed, OPTIONAL domain parameters MUST be either all present or all absent as follows:

- CVCA Link Certificates MAY contain domain parameters.
- DV and IS Certificates MUST NOT contain domain parameters. The domain parameters of DV and IS public keys SHALL be inherited from the respective CVCA public key.
- Certificate Requests MUST always contain domain parameters.

C.3.3 Ephemeral DH Public Keys

An ephemeral DH public key is an unsigned integer *y* contained in a context specific data object 0x91.

C.3.4 Ephemeral ECDH Public Keys

An ephemeral ECDH public key is an elliptic curve point *Y* contained in a context specific data object 0x91.

Appendix D Worked Examples (Informative)

This appendix provides worked examples for data structures (DG14 and CV certificates) defined by this specification.

NOTE: All numbers contained in the examples below are hexadecimal unless the notation is selfevident or explicitly stated.

D.1 Data Group 14

This section provides two examples for DG14. The first example is based on Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman and the second example is based on Diffie Hellman.

D.1.1 ECDH-based Example

The hexdump of the encoded DG14 can be found in Figure [D.1.](#page-46-4) The hexdump of the corresponding private key can be found in Figure [D.2](#page-48-2) at the end of the section.

Figure D.1: DG14 (ECDH)

```
0000 : 6E82014A 31820146 30820122 06090400 7F000702 02010230 82011330 81D40607
0020 : 2A8648CE 3D020130 81C80201 01302806 072A8648 CE3D0101 021D00D7 C134AA26
0040 : 4366862A 18302575 D1D787B0 9F075797 DA89F57E C8C0FF30 3C041C68 A5E62CA9
0060 : CE6C1C29 9803A6C1 530B514E 182AD8B0 042A59CA D29F4304 1C2580F6 3CCFE441
0080 : 38870713 B1A92369 E33E2135 D266DBB3 72386C40 0B043904 0D9029AD 2C7E5CF4
00A0 : 340823B2 A87DC68C 9E4CE317 4C1E6EFD EE12C07D 58AA56F7 72C0726F 24C6B89E
00C0 : 4ECDAC24 354B9E99 CAA3F6D3 761402CD 021D00D7 C134AA26 4366862A 18302575
00E0 : D0FB98D1 16BC4B6D DEBCA3A5 A7939F02 0101033A 0004680E C4FF3851 12D9A401
0100 : 76D36733 157B11FC 08B4A280 CE9B8246 4D765C38 C21CB883 6EE05724 3C1EBC7B
0120 : B80EC484 41107C38 E4F545EB 213C300F 060A0400 7F000702 02030201 02010130
0140 : 0D060804 007F0007 02020202 0101........................................
```
D.1.1.1 General Structure

The general structure of the worked example is examined in the following table. Details on the encoding in the AlgorithmIdentifier and the SubjectPublicKey contained in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo are given in the subsequent sections.

D.1.1.2 Encoding of the AlgorithmIdentifier

The AlgorithmIdentifier is not only used to identify the type of public key contained in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo but does also contain the domain parameters to be used. The encoding of the group generator as part of the domain parameter can be found in Section [D.1.1.3.](#page-47-1)

D.1.1.3 Encoding of Elliptic Curve Points

The group generator as part of the elliptic curve domain parameters and the public key are both points on an elliptic curve. According to [\[3\]](#page-58-7) elliptic curve points are not specified as ASN.1 data structures.

Encoding of the Group Generator: The group generator is part of the elliptic curve domain parameters contained in the AlgorithmIdentifier. The encoded elliptic curve point is embedded in an OCTET STRING.

Encoding of the SubjectPublicKey: The public key contained in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo is an elliptic curve point embedded in a BIT STRING.

D.1.1.4 Session Key Generation

The following table shows the ephemeral key pair randomly chosen by the inspection system and the shared secret resulting from the key agreement. The domain parameters are omitted.

Using the ICAO KDF the following two 3DES session keys are derived from the shared secret. The parity bits are not adjusted.

D.1.1.5 Hashed Ephemeral Public Key

For ECDH the MRTD chip stores the x-coordinate of the ephemeral public key received from the inspection system.

^H(*PK*^*PCD*) 69D489F6 8A99ABC8 7106B3E1 3A52C6AF 2C57CEE5 72755FE3 712C8AC3

Figure D.2: Chip Authentication Private Key (ECDH)

0000 : 12528622 D8947E85 E4988853 69ECDCAB F10E343A F7B95A99 DF610031

D.1.2 DH-based Example

The hexdump of the encoded DG14 is shown in Figure [D.3.](#page-49-2) The corresponding private key can be found in Figure [D.4](#page-51-3) at the end of this section.

D.1.2.1 General Structure

The general structure of the second worked example is examined in the following table. Details on the encoding of the AlgorithmIdentifier and the SubjectPublicKey contained in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo are given in the following sections.

D.1.2.2 Encoding of the AlgorithmIdentifier

The AlgorithmIdentifier is not only used to identify the type of public key contained in the SubjectPublicKeyInfo but does also contain the domain parameters to be used.

D.1.2.3 Encoding of the SubjectPublicKey

The public key contained in the SubjectPublicKey is a DER encoded INTEGER embedded in a BIT STRING. In the example below the value of the BIT STRING is decoded. The decoded structure is put in brackets.

D.1.2.4 Session Key Generation

The following table shows the ephemeral key pair randomly chosen by the inspection system and the shared secret resulting from the key agreement. The domain parameters are omitted.

Using the ICAO KDF the following two 3DES session keys are derived from the shared secret. The parity bits are not adjusted.

D.1.2.5 Hashed Ephemeral Public Key

For DH the MRTD chip stores the SHA-1 hash of the ephemeral public key received from the inspection system.

```
\boxed{H(\widetilde{PK}_{PCD})} 97D9AC36 0DCA6BB0 F2699B85 2DE37793 C29458CD
```
Figure D.4: Chip Authentication Private Key (DH)

0000 : 01CD4A70 FFDC3D42 C862FD5E 3D781EB6 DE97677B 4FF61319 242E1499 B5CD1908 0020 : A9B54221 135D1EDB AD787A5C E37586CF E86A61C4 78187157 267C97B4 0A7F2727 0040 : B9B92FAC EC267CC0 1C883FA3 783BA07D C090EE04 99C9CE88 C684C874 0FEB84F4 0060 : 49B0F544 C1747716 46BDB7A3 0B0E3AB5 6C655F0E 83A98A4B A99EB9F1 0B0C0FBF

D.2 Card Verifiable Certificates

This section provides two examples for CV certificates. Both examples are self-signed CVCA certificates. The first example is based on ECDSA and the second example is based on RSA.

D.2.1 Example 1: CVCA Certificate with ECDSA

The hexdump of the encoded certificate can be found in Figure [D.5.](#page-51-4) The hexdump of the corresponding private key can be found in Figure [D.6](#page-52-4) at the end of the section.

Figure D.5: CVCA Certificate (ECDSA)

```
0000: 7F218201 8D7F4E82 014D5F29 01004210 44454356 43414550 41535330 30303031
0020: 7F4981FD 060A0400 7F000702 02020202 811CD7C1 34AA2643 66862A18 302575D1
0040: D787B09F 075797DA 89F57EC8 C0FF821C 68A5E62C A9CE6C1C 299803A6 C1530B51
0060: 4E182AD8 B0042A59 CAD29F43 831C2580 F63CCFE4 41388707 13B1A923 69E33E21
0080: 35D266DB B372386C 400B8439 040D9029 AD2C7E5C F4340823 B2A87DC6 8C9E4CE3
00A0: 174C1E6E FDEE12C0 7D58AA56 F772C072 6F24C6B8 9E4ECDAC 24354B9E 99CAA3F6
00C0: D3761402 CD851CD7 C134AA26 4366862A 18302575 D0FB98D1 16BC4B6D DEBCA3A5
00E0: A7939F86 3904AE54 D71E532C 16D3CCE8 54DD1298 D1068F70 BD2C0F68 E62A32BC
0100: D87BA20E 7534683D 1ED8B94D E64A6E5A 63277FAD 738EA907 C5049B99 7B018701
0120: 015F2010 44454356 43414550 41535330 30303031 7F4C0E06 0904007F 00070301
0140: 02015301 C35F2506 00070004 00015F24 06000900 0303015F 37381DAF 7AA198B9
0160: 48A6DFB6 26BDDDAD 3C0343AB D1F1049C 4CA1B098 21C77A5A 3BBB18A5 D2F6D9AF
0180 \cdot 0.042463B4 C137287B AFF19DB8 684C1441 989F.
```
D.2.1.1 General Structure

The general structure of the first worked example is examined in the following table. Details on the encoding of the public key and the Certificate Holder Authorization Template are given in the following sections.

D.2.1.2 Public Key

The encoding of the public key and the domain parameters contained in the certificate is explained in the following table. The corresponding private key is shown in Figure [D.6.](#page-52-4)

Figure D.6: CVCA Private Key (ECDSA)

0000 : 73D03A00 E3A51A20 2F61DEE3 8806758D 25961643 C312C89C 852164AB

D.2.1.3 Certificate Holder Authorization Template

The discretionary data object contained in the CHAT identifies a CVCA that allows read access to DG3 and DG4.

D.2.1.4 ECDSA Plain Signature

According to [\[3\]](#page-58-7) ECDSA signatures in plain format are specified as direct concatenation of two octet strings *R*||*S*. For ECDSA-224, each octet string has length 28 (decimal).

NOTE: The X9.62 signature format based on ASN.1 MUST NOT be used.

D.2.2 Example 2: CVCA Certificate with RSA

The hexdump of the encoded certificate can be found in Figure [D.7.](#page-53-2) The hexdump of the corresponding private key can be found in Figure [D.8](#page-54-1) at the end of the section.

Figure D.7: CVCA Certificate (RSA)

0000:	7F218202	6E7F4E82	01645F29	01004210	44454356	43414550	41535330	30303031
0020:	7F498201	13060A04	007F0007		02020201 02818201	00B0010B	3897E9B0	EF24E195
0040:	CC4CB6E7	3B4DD1C0		34DFC89C 965E84DA FD152B6F		20BCCO94	53DAFBD1	E108FC1E
0060:	42F76110	CCCCCB9B	E2B9AFB0		8A63E0EE F2C72B79	0F4C14CA 19538F1F		4AFDA4R6
0080:	247F3CD6	131BDA07	30B369C3	35BAE265 308C81C8		10E98C23	7F1370FE	B05AA036
00A0:		6AFBE16E 51E5333D		771983DE 58BE9659 6857A950		2D567BF0	8B6B270C CDFCFCAF	
00C0:	7A69BDFE	B23DB2E2		D7D1ABEC 8C622EB1 A93FFD3E		110828AC	96DCE746	E4BBB280
00E0:	5C62A2DC	3BD02B78	A04AC823	18F498BF	6F1F5152	3FCBC6E7	486A3021	70A86BC7
0100:	40448BB9	FB277979	1B2B0D75		ODFF57A2 DA38697A 9A57BC26		1FD9A4DA	7097BD8F
0120:	FD2FC11F	799C40B0	4BC52683		C3D8A936 92EE2019		1F820111 5F201044	45435643
0140:	41455041	53533030	3030317F	4C0E0609	04007F00	07030102	015301C3	5F250600
0160:	07000400	015F2406	00090003	03015F37 8201008F		CD777994	4F38213A 97361B4F	
0180:		5A601778 COF47FE6	CDB1042D				1C406E2A 02450357 122AE61E 8B2F138C 243532F8	
01A0:	00DAA5F0	4448901B	1C51F73B				888970CB 8906A429 C5BCBDA5 A50AED7C C747E826	
01C0:	5AF4BCA1	2711E90D	C7AC4B41		32ADB63B CFB2988C 8D8A7C64 FA75CD43			995E63B5
01E0:	3FDOF2A4	193A6F58	BF87D368		C412F37A C56092FD AA81DB51 B742FE52			03B78ADD
0200:	75CC86BE	68B52393	0B4E05E9	81523E45	FED99079	38A4C8DE 20426DCE		62A2FA01
0220:	C728C251	DC1A3EAA	B7DD4907	C7A0B211	90E0F6FF	EF6F196D	E5F87E07	8B0B52C8
0240:	BFECC23E	75E327DF	AE96AADF		4D2BFB35 229790ED 74423F3B A47FE34B			199799RO
0260:					19D6705C 1F1E925F 67892E9F D8BFD0F8 BAD26D			

D.2.2.1 General Structure

The general structure of the second worked example is examined in the following table. Details on the encoding of the public key are given in the following section. The encoding of the Certificate Holder Authorization Template is described in Appendix [D.2.1.3.](#page-52-1)

D.2.2.2 Public Key

The encoding of the public key and the domain parameters contained in the certificate is explained in the following table. The corresponding private key (private exponent *d*) is show in Figure [D.8.](#page-54-1)

Figure D.8: CVCA Private Key (RSA)

```
0000 : 0F879F1B 94EEF906 0AC89C46 BB798CDF 95ECDC40 E691B376 ADFCA9E9 2783D519
0020 : 7A10FE07 66254739 80CAF39C 7F3D453F 3F3F2457 C517080C 35FD4242 991A6C90
0040 : 68986C2F 69415595 ACF7F1F4 29583101 AFA24BED B57A45EE 2713F9DE A2FC6479
0060 : F67D4E6D 01B72588 07FF13DC 4366B6E9 1BC0C1A8 A05E7580 4D0D441F CB7FE16D
0080 : 43FA92AD D80684D7 EBC3023A 9A9197A9 F207134C 0CBE4A9F EFE2F02D B36867B1
00A0 : 478A3742 6F11764A 9E558AAC 3A8A2C7D EDA2DE2A D266BEC6 BD6E8586 7497A340
00C0 : FCE480F1 852BD0CB CAF27AB4 3E9866F8 93C033E7 EAC8FC1D 68AD0AF4 77614EA6
00E0 : EAAF3978 C93B4A97 877D87BD 0E705204 A9B7C663 54A03FD3 8E506D39 66289A95
```


D.3 Encoding of the Document Number

The MRTD chip's document number is used as *ID_{PICC}* in Terminal Authentication. Let the document number be "123456789", thus, the check digit is "7". The corresponding Octet String to be used in Terminal Authentication is 31323334353637383937.

Appendix E Basic Access Control (Informative)

The protocol for Basic Access Control is specified by ICAO [\[5\]](#page-58-0). Basic Access Control checks that the inspection system has *physical* access to the MRTD's data page. This is enforced by requiring the inspection system to derive an authentication key from the *optically* read MRZ of the MRTD. The protocol for Basic Access Control is based on ISO/IEC 11770-2 [\[6\]](#page-58-17) key establishment mechanism 6. This protocol is also used to generate session keys that are used to protect the confidentiality (and integrity) of the transmitted data.

E.1 Document Basic Access Keys

The Document Basic Access Keys *KBEnc* and *KBMAC* stored on the RF-chip in secure memory, have to be derived by the inspection system from the MRZ of the MRTD prior to accessing the RF-chip. Therefore, the inspection system optically reads the MRZ and generates the Document Basic Access Keys by applying the ICAO KDF [\[5\]](#page-58-0) to the most significant 16 bytes of the SHA-1 [\[15\]](#page-58-8) hash of some fields of the MRZ. As reading the MRZ optically is error-prone, only the fields protected by a check-digit are used to generate the Basic Access Key(s): Document Number, Date of Birth, and Date of Expiry. As a consequence the resulting authentication key has a relatively low entropy. The actual entropy mainly depends on the type of the Document Number. For 10 year valid travel document the **maximum** strength of the authentication key is approximately:

- 56 Bit for a numeric Document Number $(365^2 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ possibilities})$
- 73 Bit for an alphanumeric Document Number $(365^2 \cdot 36^9 \cdot 10^3$ possibilities)
- NOTE: Especially in the second case this estimation requires the Document Number to be randomly and uniformly chosen. Depending on the knowledge of the attacker, the actual entropy of the Document Basic Access Key may be lower, e.g. if the attacker knows all Document Numbers in use or is able to correlate Document Numbers and Dates of Expiry.

Given that in the first case the maximum entropy (56 Bit) is relatively low, calculating the authentication key from an eavesdropped session is possible. On the other hand, this still requires more effort than to obtain the same (less-sensitive) data from another source.

E.2 Protocol Specification

Basic Access Control is shown in Figure [E.1.](#page-56-0) For better readability encryption and message authentication are combined into a single authenticated encryption primitive

$$
\mathbf{E}_K(S) = \mathbf{E}_{KB_{Enc}}'(S)||\mathbf{MAC}_{K_{MAC}}(\mathbf{E}_{KB_{Enc}}'(S)),
$$

where $K = \{KB_{Enc}, KB_{MAC}\}.$

1. The MRTD chip sends the nonce *rPICC* to the inspection system.

MRTD Chip (PICC)		Inspection System (PCD)
		read MRZ optically and derive K
choose r_{PICC} and K_{PICC} randomly		choose r_{PCD} and K_{PCD} randomly
	r_{PICC}	
	e_{PCD}	$e_{PCD} = \mathbf{E}_K(r_{PCD} r_{PICC} K_{PCD})$
r'_{PCD} r'_{PICC} K'_{PCD} = $\mathbf{D}_K(e_{PCD})$		
check $r'_{PICC} = r_{PICC}$		
$e_{PICC} = \mathbf{E}_{K}(r_{PICC} r'_{PCD} K_{PICC})$	e_{PICC}	
		r'_{PICC} r''_{PCD} $K'_{PICC} = D_K(e_{PICC})$
		check $r''_{PCD} = r_{PCD}$

Figure E.1: Basic Access Control

2. The inspection system sends the encrypted challenge

$$
e_{PCD} = \mathbf{E}_K(r_{PCD}||r_{PICC}||K_{PCD})
$$

to the MRTD chip, where *rPICC* is the MRTD chip's nonce, *rPCD* is the inspection system's randomly chosen nonce, and K_{PCD} is keying material for the generation of the session keys.

- 3. The MRTD chip performs the following actions:
	- a) It decrypts the received challenge to $r'_{PCD}||r'_{PICC}||K'_{PCD} = \mathbf{D}_K(e_{PCD})$ and verifies that $r'_{PICC} =$ *rPICC*.
	- b) It responds with the encrypted challenge $e_{PICC} = \mathbf{E}_K(r_{PICC}||r'_{PCD}||K_{PICC})$, where r_{PICC} is the MRTD chip's randomly chosen nonce and *KPICC* is keying material for the generation of the session keys.
- 4. The inspection system decrypts the encrypted challenge to $r'_{PICC}||r''_{PCD}||K'_{PICC} = \mathbf{D}_K(e_{PICC})$ and verifies that $r''_{PCD} = r_{PCD}$.

After a successful authentication all further communication MUST be protected by Secure Messaging in Encrypt-then-Authenticate mode using session keys *KEnc* and *KMAC* derived according to [\[5\]](#page-58-0) from the common master secret $K_{Master} = K_{PICC} \oplus K_{PCD}$ and a Send Sequence Counter *SSC* derived from r_{PICC} and *r_{PCD}*.

Appendix F Challenge Semantics (Informative)

Consider a signature based challenge-response protocol between an MRTD chip (PICC) and an inspection system (PCD), where the MRTD chip wants to prove knowledge of its private key *SKPICC*:

- 1. The inspection system sends a randomly chosen challenge *c* to the MRTD chip.
- 2. The MRTD chip responds with the signature $s =$ **Sign**(*SK_{PICC}*,*c*).

While this is a very simple and efficient protocol, the MRTD chip in fact signs the message *c* without knowing the semantic of this message. As signatures provide a transferable proof of authenticity, any third party can – in principle – be convinced that the MRTD chip has indeed signed this message.

Although *c* should be a random bit string, the inspection system can as well generate this bit string in an unpredictable but (publicly) verifiable way, e.g. let *SKPCD* be the inspection system's private key and

 $c =$ **Sign**(*SK_{PCD}*,*ID*_{*PICC}*||Date||Time||Location),</sub>

be the challenge generated by using a signature scheme with message recovery. The signature guarantees that the inspection system has indeed generated this challenge. Due to the transferability of the inspection system's signature, any third party having trust in the inspection system and knowing the corresponding public key PK_{PCD} can check that the challenge was created correctly by verifying this signature. Furthermore, due to the transferability of MRTD chip's signature on the challenge, the third party can conclude that the assertion became true: The MRTD chip was indeed at a certain date and time at a certain location.

On the positive side, countries may use Challenge Semantics for their internal use, e.g. to prove that a certain person indeed has immigrated. On the negative side such proves can be misused to track persons. In particular since Active Authentication is not restricted to authorized inspection systems misuse is possible. The worst scenario would be MRTD chips that provide Active Authentication without Basic Access Control. In this case a very powerful tracking system may be set up by placing secure hardware modules at prominent places. The resulting logs cannot be faked due to the signatures. Basic Access Control diminishes this problem to a certain extent, as interaction with the bearer is required. Nevertheless, the problem remains, but is restricted to places where the travel document of the bearer is read anyway, e.g. by airlines, hotels etc.

One might object that especially in a contactless scenario, challenges may be eavesdropped and reused at a different date, time or location and thus render the proof at least unreliable. While eavesdropping challenges is technically possible, the argument is still invalid. By assumption an inspection system is trusted to produce challenges correctly and it can be assumed that it has checked the MRTD chip's identity before starting Active Authentication. Thus, the eavesdropped challenge will contain an identity different from the prover's identity who signs the challenge.

Bibliography

- [1] Mihir Bellare, Ran Canetti, and Hugo Krawczyk. A modular approach to the design and analysis of authentication and key exchange protocols. In *30th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 419–428. ACM Press, 1998.
- [2] Scott Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to indicate requirement levels. RFC 2119, 1997.
- [3] BSI. Technical Guideline: Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) based on ISO 15946, Version 1.0. TR-03111, 2007.
- [4] Russel Housley, Tim Polk, Warwick Ford, and David Solo. Internet X.509 public key infrastructure certificate and certificate revocation list (CRL) profile. RFC 3280, 2002.
- [5] ICAO Doc 9303. Specifications for electronically enabled passports with biometric identification capabilities. In *Machine Readable Travel Documents – Part 1: Machine Readable Passport*, volume 2. ICAO, 6th edition, 2006.
- [6] ISO 11770-2. Information technology – Security techniques – Key management – Part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric techniques, 1996.
- [7] ISO 15946-1. Information technology – Security techniques – Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves – Part 1: General, 2002.
- [8] ISO 15946-2. Information technology – Security techniques – Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves – Part 2: Digital signatures, 2002.
- [9] ISO 15946-3. Information technology – Security techniques – Cryptographic techniques based on elliptic curves – Part 3: Key establishment, 2002.
- [10] ISO/IEC 7816-4:2005. Identification cards – Integrated circuit cards – Part 4: Organization, security and commands for interchange, 2005.
- [11] ISO/IEC 7816-6:2004. Identification cards – Integrated circuit cards – Part 6: Interindustry data elements for interchange, 2004.
- [12] ISO/IEC 7816-8:2004. Identification cards – Integrated circuit cards – Part 8: Commands for security operations, 2004.
- [13] ITU-T. Information Technology – ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER). X.690, 2002.
- [14] Jakob Jonsson and Burt Kaliski. Public-key cryptography standards (PKCS) #1: RSA cryptography specifications version 2.1. RFC 3447, 2003.
- [15] NIST. Secure hash standard. FIPS PUB 180-2 (+ Change Notice to include SHA-224), 2002.
- [16] Eric Rescorla. Diffie-Hellman key agreement method. RFC 2631, 1999.
- [17] RSA Laboratories. PKCS#3: Diffie-Hellman key-agreement standard. RSA Laboratories Technical Note, 1993.
- [18] RSA Laboratories. PKCS#1 v2.1: RSA cryptography standard. RSA Laboratories Technical Note, 2002.